arXiv:1204.5730v1 [math.RT] 25 Apr 2012

Every projective variety is a quiver Grassmannian

Markus Reineke

March 3, 2013

Quiver Grassmannians are varieties $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}^{Q}(V)$ parametrizing subrepresentations of dimension vector $\mathbf{e}$ of a representation V of a quiver Q [1]. The aim of this note is to prove the claim in the title, which implies that no special properties of quiver Grassmannians can be expected without restricting the choices of Q, V and $\mathbf{e}$. More precisely we prove:

Theorem 0.1 *Every projective variety is isomorphic to a quiver Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}^{Q}(V)$, for an acyclic quiver Q with at most three vertices, a Schurian representation V, and a thin dimension vector $\mathbf{e}$ (i.e. $\mathbf{e}_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in Q_0$).*

The author would like to thank B. Keller for posing the question answered here, and G. Cerulli-Irelli, E. Feigin, O. Lorscheid and A. Zelevinsky for helpful discussions.

So let X be an arbitrary projective variety, given by a set of homogeneous polynomial equations on the coordinates of $\mathbf{P}^n$. Without loss of generality, we can assume these equations to be homogeneous of the same degree d. Using the d-uple embedding $j : \mathbf{P}^n \to \mathbf{P}^{M-1}$, we can define $j(X) \simeq X$ by equations defining $j(\mathbf{P}^n)$ inside $\mathbf{P}^{M-1}$, together with linear equations $\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_k$ on the coordinates of $\mathbf{P}^{M-1}$.

To make this more explicit, let $M_{n,d}$ be the set of tuples $\mathbf{m} = (m_0, \ldots, m_n)$ in $\mathbf{N}^{n+1}$ summing up to d, so that M is the cardinality of $M_{n,d}$, and j maps homogeneous coordinates $(x_0 : \ldots : x_n)$ to $(: x^{\mathbf{m}} :)_{\mathbf{m} \in M_{n,d}}$, where $x^{\mathbf{m}} = x_0^{m_0} \ldots x_n^{m_n}$. A point x in $\mathbf{P}^M$ with homogeneous coordinates $(: x_{\mathbf{m}} :)_{\mathbf{m} \in M_{n,d}}$ belongs to the image of j if and only if the quadratic conditions $x_{\mathbf{m}} x_{\mathbf{m}'} = x_{\mathbf{m}''} x_{\mathbf{m}'''}$ for all $\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{m}'' + \mathbf{m}'''$ are fulfilled. Actually it suffices to impose the conditions (denoting by $\mathbf{e}_i$ the tuple with entry 1 in the i-th position)

$$x_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_i} x_{\mathbf{n}'+\mathbf{e}_j} = x_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_j} x_{\mathbf{n}'+\mathbf{e}_i} \text{ for all } \mathbf{n} \in M_{n,d-1},\ i = 0, \ldots, n.$$

These conditions can be rewritten as follows: we define a matrix $A(x)$ with rows indexed by the $\mathbf{n} \in M_{n,d-1}$ and columns indexed by the $i = 0, \ldots, n$, with $(\mathbf{n}, i)$-th entry being $x_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{e}_i}$. Then the previous quadratic conditions can be compactly reformulated as the rank of A being 1, because they translate precisely to vanishing of all two-by-two minors of A. Thus we can define (a variety isomorphic to) X as the set of points $x \in \mathbf{P}^M$ such that $A(x)$ has rank 1 and $\varphi_j(x) = 0$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$.

These defining equations are easily realized as a quiver Grassmannian: let Q be the quiver with set of vertices $\{1, 2, 3\}$, with k arrows from 2 to 1 and $n+1$ arrows from 2 to 3. Define a representation V of Q of dimension vector $(1, M, M')$, for M' the cardinality of $M_{n,d-1}$, as follows: let $V_1 = \mathbf{C}$, the space V_2 has a basis $(v_{\mathbf{m}})_{\mathbf{m} \in M_{n,d}}$, and V_3 has a basis $(v_{\mathbf{n}})_{\mathbf{n} \in M_{n,d-1}}$. The maps representing the arrows from 2 to 1 are given by the linear forms φ_j. The i-th arrow from 2 to 3, with $i = 0, \ldots, n$, is given by the linear map f_i sending $v_{\mathbf{m}}$ to $v_{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{e}_i}$ if $m_i \neq 0$, and to 0 otherwise. Define the dimension vector $\mathbf{e} = (0, 1, 1)$. Then a point of $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathbf{e}}(V)$ is determined by a vector v in V_2 which is annihilated by all φ_j, and such that the span of the images $f_0(v), \ldots, f_n(v)$ is one-dimensional. Writing $v = \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in M_{n,d}} x_{\mathbf{m}} v_{\mathbf{m}}$, the image $f_i(v)$, written in coordinates $v_{\mathbf{n}}$, is precisely the i-th column of $A(x)$, so that the span being one-dimensional means that the rank of $A(x)$ equals 1. This proves all claims except V being Schurian.

To prove this, assume we are given endomorphisms φ of V_2 and ψ of V_3 such that $f_i \varphi = \psi f_i$ for all $i = 0, \ldots, n$. Writing $\varphi(v_{\mathbf{m}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{m}'} a_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} v_{\mathbf{m}'}$ and $\psi(v_{\mathbf{n}}) = \sum_{\mathbf{n}'} b_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}'} v_{\mathbf{n}'}$, this condition means that, for all $i = 0, \ldots, n$ such that $m'_i \neq 0$, we have

(i) $a_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} = 0$ if $m_i = 0$,

(ii) $a_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} = b_{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{e}_i,\mathbf{m}'-\mathbf{e}_i}$ if $m_i \neq 0$.

The second condition implies that $a_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} = a_{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{e}_j,\mathbf{m}'-\mathbf{e}_i+\mathbf{e}_j}$ if $m_i \neq 0 \neq m_i$, and thus the following translation principle: $a_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} = a_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{q},\mathbf{m}'+\mathbf{q}}$ for all $\mathbf{q} = (q_0, \ldots, q_n)$ with $\sum_i q_i = 0$ whenever all coefficients are defined. Given a pair $\mathbf{m} \neq \mathbf{m}'$, we can find an index i such that $m_i < m'_i$, thus $a_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} = a_{\mathbf{m}-m_i\mathbf{e}_i+m_i\mathbf{e}_j,\mathbf{m}'-m_i\mathbf{e}_i+m_i\mathbf{e}_j}$ for some $j \neq i$ by translation. Condition (i) now implies $a_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} = 0$. Moreover, all coefficients $a_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}$ coincide by translation, thus $a_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'} = C\delta_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}$ for some scalar C. All coefficients $b_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}'}$ being determined by the $a_{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{m}'}$ by condition (ii), we have $b_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}'} = C\delta_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}'}$ as well. Now a non-zero φ_k forces the endomorphism of V to be just C times the identity. In case all φ_k are zero, we just omit the vertex 1 from the quiver. The theorem is proved.

References

[1] A. Schofield, *General representations of quivers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **65** (1992), no. 1, 46–64.